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- Distributed transactions creates problems in Scalability
- Why?
  - Locks held in the agreement protocol of 2PC increase contention
- What is the current workaround?
  - Provide minimal transactional support to achieve scalable systems
- The authors target the primary problem in providing transactional support in scalable systems
Solution

- Maybe 2PC duration could be made shorter?
- How?
- The solution lies in the working of the 2PC algorithm
Why can aborts be there?

1. Node failures
2. Transaction logic/constraint failure
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Why is this required?

Phase 1

prepare

vote-abort/
vote-commit

Phase 2

global-commit/
global-abort?

commited/aborted

Figure from Oszu et al.
1. If no reply within some time, node has failed. The coordinator can take the abort decision after timeout.

What if nodes don’t fail?

That’s unrealistic!

But what if, when some node fails it can recover to that state later no?

But what about the unfairness to the transactions stalling system till node wakes up?
Even if we allow the stall, another bigger problem is the non-determinism.

With the same transaction inputs, an arbitrary serialisable order of transactions can take place, leading to a possibly different database state.

- How to avoid the stall problem?

Add replication!
Why multiple sequencers?

How do schedulers not violate it?
How is this order ensured?

- Deterministic lock manager
- A Thread in scheduler scans all transactions sent in epoch and acquires locks on all variables in read/write sets of transactions. Hence Transactions request all locks of its lifetime ahead of time. This is required to ensure thread scheduling cannot change the order of execution. This is the mechanism.
Transaction Execution - Worker Threads

1. Read/Write set Analysis - Identifies locality of Transaction variables + Active/Passive participants
2. Perform local reads
3. Serve Remote reads - Passive participants end here
4. Collect remote read results - Execute on active participants
5. Transaction logic and apply writes - Execute on active participants
Dependent Transactions

1. Mechanism of Reconnaissance query

Inexpensive, unreplicated read only query that performs all necessary reads to figure out the read/write sets

Records must be rechecked. Process needs to be deterministically restarted if read/write set not valid

Eg. Index lookups. Indices on volatile fields like stock price are rare.
Hardware configuration

Amazon EC2 using High-CPU/Extra-Large instances, which promise 7GB of memory and 20 EC2 Compute Units—8 virtual cores with 2.5 EC2 Compute Units each
Figure 4: Total and per-node TPC-C (100% New Order) throughput, varying deployment size.
**Future research scope**

1. Development of seamless failover system, in which entire set of replicas need not be replaced.
2. Developing schemes in which entire read/write set need not be known.
3. Develop schemes in which determinism in conflict resolution is there to ensure a deterministic order of execution.
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