

Authors : Cheng Li, Daniel Porto, Allen Clement, Johannes Gehrke,

Nuno Preguiça, and Rodrigo Rodrigues

Presenter: Devesh Kumar Singh

### **GEO-REPLICATION**

#### Injected latency to user responses (ms)



- Internet users globally distributed
- Higher Latency => poor user experience => loss in revenue
- Replicate data across geographically diverse sites
- Serve users from closest/least loaded site
- Need to decide on consistency models





- Single server behavior with natural semantics like linearizability
- Coordination overhead between replicas, amplified in geo-replication
- High Latency for remote clients
- e.g Yahoo PNUTS



### EVENTUAL CONSISTENCY



- Multi server behavior with short term state divergence
- Conflict resolution by last writer wins etc.
- Low latency for remote users, might cause undesirable behavior
- e.g Amazon Dynamo



### **RED-BLUE CONSISTENCY**

Strong consistency

**Red-Blue** consistency

**Eventual** Consistency







Totally ordered **Red** ops

Low latency blue ops when possible, Coordination for Red ops only when necessary

Partially ordered Blue ops



### **RED-BLUE CONSISTENCY**

- RedBlue order
  - Red operations must be totally ordered
  - Blue operations order can vary from site to site
- Site A: A1 B1 R1 B2 A2 R2 R3 B3
- Site B: B1 B2 A1 R1 R2 A2 B3 R3
- Causal serialization
  - A site has a causal serialization of the RedBlue order if the ordering is a linear extension of the RedBlue order
- State convergence
  - All causal serializations of the RedBlue order reach the same state
  - All Blue orders must be globally commutative
- Red Blue Consistency
  - Each site applies operations according to the causal serialization of the RedBlue order



### **RED-BLUE CONSISTENT BANK SYSTEM**

Initial: balance = 100, interest = 0.05



```
deposit(float money) {
  balance = balance + money;
withdraw(float money) {
 if (balance - money \geq 0)
  balance = balance - money;
 else
   print "failure";
accrueinterest() {
  float delta = balance \times
interest;
```

balance = balance + delta;



## **RED-BLUE CONSISTENT BANK SYSTEM**

- Problem: Different execution order lead to divergent state
- Cause: accrueinterest doesn't commute with deposit
- Solution: Mark all as Red for convergence, but Red is slow
- Better Solution: Split non-commutative operations into two
  - Compute the amount of interest accrued
  - Treat computed value as deposit





### GENERATOR/SHADOW OPERATIONS

- Generator Operation
  - Only executed at the primary site against a system state
  - Produces no side effects
  - Determines state transitions that would occur
  - Produces shadow operations
- Shadow Operation
  - Applies the state transitions to all the sites including the primary site
  - Must produce the same effects as the original operation given the original state for the Generator operation



### BANKING SYSTEM REVISITED

#### Original/Generator operation

Shadow operation



### FAST AND CONSISTENT BANK

### Initial: balance = 100, interest = 0.05





### ANOTHER ISSUE

### Initial: balance = 100, interest = 0.05





### ANOTHER ISSUE

- Problem: Different execution orders lead to a negative balance.
- Cause: Blue operations that potentially break invariants execute without coordination.
- Solution: We must label successful withdrawal (withdrawAck') as Red



### **RED OR BLUE?**





### RED BLUE CONSISTENT BANKING



(b) Convergent and invariant preserving causal serializations of O



### EVALUATION

- Experiments with:
  - E-commerce benchmarks: TPC-W, RUBiS
  - Social networking app: Quoddy
- Deployment in Amazon EC2
  - spanning 5 sites (US-East, US-West, Ireland, Brazil, Singapore) –
  - locating users in all five sites and directing their requests to closest server



### MOST OPERATIONS ARE BLUE

Apps	# Original update txns	# Blue/Red update ops	# Shadow ops	# Blue/Red update ops
TPC-W	7	0/7	16	14/2
RUBiS	5	<mark>0/5</mark>	9	7/2
Quoddy	4	0/4	4	<mark>4/0</mark>



### MOST OPERATIONS ARE BLUE

Apps	workload	Originally		With shadow ops	
		Blue (%)	Red(%)	Blue (%)	Red(%)
TPC-W	Browsing mix	96.0	4.0	99.5	0.5
	Shopping mix	85.0	15.0	99.2	0.8
	Ordering mix	63.0	37.0	93.6	6.4
RUBiS	Bidding mix	85.0	15.0	97.4	2.6
Quoddy	a mix with 15% update	85.0	15.0	100	0



### IMPROVED USER OBSERVED LATENCY





### THROUGHPUT SCALES WITH NO OF SITES



### SUMMARY

- RedBlue consistency allows strong consistency and eventual consistency to coexist.
- Generator/shadow operation extends the space of fast operations.
- A precise labeling methodology allows for systems to be fast and behave as expected.
- Experimental results show our solution improves both latency and throughput.





# THANK YOU