



Relational Calculus

Chapter 4, Part B



Relational Calculus

- ⊞ Comes in two flavours: *Tuple relational calculus* (TRC) and *Domain relational calculus* (DRC).
- ⊞ Calculus has *variables, constants, comparison ops, logical connectives* and *quantifiers*.
 - TRC: Variables range over (i.e., get bound to) *tuples*.
 - DRC: Variables range over *domain elements* (= field values).
 - Both TRC and DRC are simple subsets of first-order logic.
- ⊞ Expressions in the calculus are called *formulas*. An answer tuple is essentially an assignment of constants to variables that make the formula evaluate to *true*.



Domain Relational Calculus

- ⊞ *Query* has the form:

$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle) \}$$
- ⊞ *Answer* includes all tuples $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ that make the *formula* $p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle)$ be *true*.
- ⊞ *Formula* is recursively defined, starting with simple *atomic formulas* (getting tuples from relations or making comparisons of values), and building bigger and better formulas using the *logical connectives*.



DRC Formulas

- ⊞ *Atomic formula*:
 - $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in Rname$, or $X op Y$, or $X op constant$
 - *op* is one of $<, >, =, \leq, \geq, \neq$
- ⊞ *Formula*:
 - an atomic formula, or
 - $\neg p, p \wedge q, p \vee q$, where p and q are formulas, or
 - $\exists X (p(X))$, where variable X is *free* in $p(X)$, or
 - $\forall X (p(X))$, where variable X is *free* in $p(X)$
- ⊞ The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ is said to *bind* X .
 - A variable that is not bound is *free*.



Free and Bound Variables

- ⊞ The use of quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ in a formula is said to *bind* X .
 - A variable that is not bound is *free*.
- ⊞ Let us revisit the definition of a query:

$$\{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid p(\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle) \}$$
- ⊞ There is an important restriction: the variables x_1, \dots, x_n that appear to the left of $\{ \mid \}$ must be the *only* free variables in the formula $p(\dots)$.



Find all sailors with a rating above 7

- $$\{ \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \mid \langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors \wedge T > 7 \}$$
- ⊞ The condition $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle \in Sailors$ ensures that the domain variables I, N, T and A are bound to fields of the same Sailors tuple.
- ⊞ The term $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ to the left of $\{ \mid \}$ (which should be read as *such that*) says that every tuple $\langle I, N, T, A \rangle$ that satisfies $T > 7$ is in the answer.
- ⊞ Modify this query to answer:
 - Find sailors who are older than 18 or have a rating under 9, and are called 'Joe'.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved boat #103

$$\langle\langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge T > 7 \wedge \\ \exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge Br = 103) \rangle\rangle$$

⊞ We have used $\exists Ir, Br, D (\dots)$ as a shorthand for $\exists Ir (\exists Br (\exists D (\dots)))$

⊞ Note the use of \exists to find a tuple in Reserves that 'joins with' the Sailors tuple under consideration.

Find sailors rated > 7 who've reserved a red boat

$$\langle\langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge T > 7 \wedge \\ \exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge Ir = I \wedge \\ \exists B, BN, C (\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats} \wedge B = Br \wedge C = \text{'red'})) \rangle\rangle$$

⊞ Observe how the parentheses control the scope of each quantifier's binding.

⊞ This may look cumbersome, but with a good user interface, it is very intuitive. (Wait for QBE!)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats

$$\langle\langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall B, BN, C (\neg (\langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats}) \vee \\ (\exists Ir, Br, D (\langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} \wedge I = Ir \wedge Br = B)) \rangle\rangle$$

⊞ Find all sailors I such that for each 3-tuple $\langle B, BN, C \rangle$ either it is not a tuple in Boats or there is a tuple in Reserves showing that sailor I has reserved it.

Find sailors who've reserved all boats (again!)

$$\langle\langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall \langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats} \\ (\exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} (I = Ir \wedge Br = B)) \rangle\rangle$$

⊞ Simpler notation, same query. (Much clearer!)

⊞ To find sailors who've reserved all red boats:

$$\dots \langle\langle I,N,T,A \rangle \mid \langle I,N,T,A \rangle \in \text{Sailors} \wedge \\ \forall \langle B, BN, C \rangle \in \text{Boats} (C = \text{'red'} \vee \exists \langle Ir, Br, D \rangle \in \text{Reserves} (I = Ir \wedge Br = B)) \rangle\rangle$$

Unsafe Queries, Expressive Power

⊞ It is possible to write syntactically correct calculus queries that have an infinite number of answers! Such queries are called *unsafe*.

- e.g., $\{S \mid \neg (S \in \text{Sailors})\}$

⊞ It is known that every query that can be expressed in relational algebra can be expressed as a safe query in DRC / TRC; the converse is also true.

⊞ *Relational Completeness*: Query language (e.g., SQL) can express every query that is expressible in relational algebra/calculus.

Summary

⊞ Relational calculus is non-operational, and users define queries in terms of what they want, not in terms of how to compute it. (Declarativeness.)

⊞ Algebra and safe calculus have same expressive power, leading to the notion of relational completeness.