

HotStuff-1: Linear Consensus with One-Phase Speculation



Suyash Gupta*

Dakai Kang

Department of Computer Science, UC Davis * Distopia Labs, Department of Computer Science, University of Oregon ⁺Department of Computer Science, UC Santa Barbara





UCDAVIS OREGON UC SANTA BARBARA



Dahlia Malkhi⁺



Mohammad Sadoghi





HotStuff: Rotational Consensus





Decide PreCommit Commit Prepare

Basic HotStuff: 3.5-Phase BFT Protocol with Leader Rotation and Linear Complexity HotStuff with **n** replicas can tolerate at most **f Byzantine** replicas, **if n > 3f**





Streamlining: Boost Throughput



View0View1View2View3Streamlined HotStuff: Overlapping phases of multiple views





Challenges in HotStuff

High Latency

- HotStuff: 4 Broadcast Steps + 3 Vote Steps ==> 7Δ
- **PBFT**: 1 Broadcast Step + 2 All-to-All Vote Steps ==> 3Δ
- **Challenge**: Can we reduce HotStuff's latency?



Steps ==> 7Δ Vote Steps ==> 3Δ s latency?



HotStuff-2: Two-phase HotStuff

Propose

Prepare-Certificate P(0)

Lock on T0



HotStuff-2: Removed PreCommit Phase from HotStuff; 3 Broadcast + 2 Vote ==> 5Δ



P(0) Commit-Certificate C(0) T0 Responses

Prepare Commit



Challenges in HotStuff

High Latency

- HotStuff: 4 Broadcast Steps + 3 Vote Steps ==> 7Δ
- **PBFT**: 1 Broadcast Step + 2 All-to-All Steps ==> 3Δ
- HotStuff-2: 3 Broadcast Steps + 2 Vote Steps ==> 5Δ





Challenges in HotStuff

High Latency

- **HotStuff**: 4 Broadcast Steps + 3 Vote Steps ==> 7Δ
- **PBFT**: 1 Broadcast Step + 2 All-to-All Steps ==> 3Δ
- HotStuff-2: 3 Broadcast Steps + 2 Steps ==> 5Δ

Leader Slowness

- Leader may have **low computational capacity**.
- Leader may target Maximal Extractable Value (MEV).
 - Low Throughput!



l capacity. ble Value (MEV).

Leader Slowness

- Timer Length: 3s
- Average View Duration for Honest Leaders: 1s

All Leaders are well-behaving

	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T 4	T 5	Т6
C) -	1 2	2 3	3 4	1 5	5 6)

R1 is a Slow Leader

	Т0			T1	T2	Т3	T 4
0	-	1 2	2 3	3 4		5 6	









Challenges in HotStuff

High Latency

- HotStuff: 4 Broadcast Steps + 3 Vote Steps ==> 7Δ
- **PBFT**: 1 Broadcast Step + 2 All-to-All Steps ==> 3Δ
- HotStuff-2: 3 Broadcast Steps + 2 Vote Steps ==> 5Δ

Leader Slowness

- Leader may have **low computational capacity**.
- Leader may target Maximal Extractable Value (MEV).
 - Low Throughput!

Tail-Forking Attack in Streamlined Version

- Proposals from honest leaders are ignored by the subsequent *malicious* leaders.
 - Low Throughput!
 - Unfair to victim honest leaders.





Tail-Forking Attack

All Leaders are well-behaving



R2 is Byzantine: Blocks from R1 are intentionally ignored by R2









Magic Sauce for Resolving the Challenges

High Latency

Leader Slowness

Tail-Forking Attack





Speculation

• Allow replicas to speculatively execute transactions.



Slotting

• Allow leader to propose multiple slots; one transaction block per slot.







OREGON UC SANTA BARBARA UCDAVIS

Introducing HotStuff-1 (HS-1)

- Ensures Linear Complexity as HotStuff/HotStuff-2.
- First HotStuff Family Protocol to employ Speculation.
- **Resilient** against Leader Slowness via **Slotting**.
- **Resilient** against Tail-Forking via **Slotting**.





HotStuff-2: Two-phase HotStuff

Propose

Prepare-Certificate P(0)

Lock on T0



HotStuff-2: Removed PreCommit Phase from HotStuff; 3 Broadcast + 2 Vote ==> 5Δ



P(0) Commit-Certificate C(0) T0 Responses

Prepare Commit



HotStuff-1: Linear Consensus with One-Phase Speculation

Prepare-Certificate P(0) Speculate on T0 and Send Responses





Basic HotStuff-1: 2 Broadcast + 1 Vote ==> 3 Δ



Speculation is not Simple!

(1) Speculative responses **are not** commit-votes but prepare-votes.

• 2f+1 speculative responses are required, rather than f+1 as in prior works.

(2) **Prefix Speculation Dilemma:**

- After sending a speculative response for T, a replica may switch to a conflicting branch. • **Invalidates** previous speculative response!
- Client may **mistakenly collect** 2f+1 invalid speculative responses.





Speculation Rules

Two rules for a replica R to speculate on a transaction T proposed in view v:

- **Prefix Speculation Rule:** The prefix of T must have been committed;
- **No-Gap Rule:** R receives the prepare-certificate of T in view v





Proof and Detailed Examples in our Extended Report: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2408.04728





Slotting

- Tv_s must contain certificate P(v, s-1)





• We enable a fast leader to propose as many transactions as possible before timeout





Slotting - Leader Slowness

Without Slotting

	Т0			T1	T 2	Т3	T 4
0	-	1 2	2 3	4	L)	5 6)

With Slotting

	T0_0	T0_1	T0_2			T1_0	T2_0
()		2	3 4	1 5	5 6)











Slotting - Tail-Forking Attack

All Leaders are well-behaving



R2 is Byzantine: Transactions from R1 are all skipped







Tail-Fork at most 1 slot in HotStuff-1

- A certificate P(v,s) means at least f+1 honest replicas lock on P(v,s-1)
- At most one slot can be skipped per view









Evaluation - Scalability



HotStuff-1 exhibits the **same throughput** and **lower latency** than other protocols.



- HotStuff - HotStuff-2 - HotStuff-1 - HotStuff-1 (with slotting)





Evaluation - Geographical Scalability

- HotStuff - HotStuff-2 - HotStuff-1 - HotStuff-1 (with slotting)



HotStuff-1 exhibits the **same throughput** and **lower latency** than other protocols.





Evaluation - Network Jitters

- HotStuff - HotStuff-2 - HotStuff-1 - HotStuff-1 (with slotting)



Performance under unfavorable network condition with **500ms** injected Network delay.

HotStuff-1 with slotting exhibits the **highest resilience** among all protocols.





Evaluation - Attacks



HotStuff-1 with slotting exhibits the **highest resilience** against the attacks.



-HotStuff -HotStuff-2 - HotStuff-1 - HotStuff-1 (10ms-slotting) - HotStuff-1 (100ms-slotting)







Conclusion

• HotStuff-1 employs speculative execution to offer consensus in a single phase.



• HotStuff-1 with slotting guards against Leader Slowness and Tail-Forking Attacks.









THANK YOU





https://resilientdb.com/

